

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 21-2037V

JULIA MCNALLY,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: July 27, 2023

Jimmy A. Zgheib, Zgheib Sayad, P.C., White Plains, NY, for Petitioner.

Mary Eileen Holmes, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

On October 19, 2021, Julia McNally filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (“SIRVA”) as a result of receiving an influenza (“flu”) vaccine on November 3, 2020. Petition at 1, ¶¶ 4, 26. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On May 26, 2023, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding Petitioner entitled to compensation for SIRVA. On July 26, 2023, Respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation (“Proffer”) indicating Petitioner should be awarded \$77,500.00 for pain and suffering and funds to satisfy the State of Connecticut Medicaid lien in the amount of \$1,648.51. Proffer at 1-2. In the Proffer, Respondent represented that Petitioner agrees

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

with the proffered award. *Id.* Based on the record as a whole, I find that Petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **I award Petitioner:**

- A. A lump sum payment of \$77,500.00 in the form of a check payable to Petitioner; and**
- B. A lump sum payment of \$1,648.51, representing compensation for satisfaction of the State of Connecticut Medicaid lien, in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and:**

**State of Connecticut Department of Administrative Services
450 Columbus Boulevard, Suite 1001
Hartford, CT 06103
Re: Julia McNally
Case No. 3351319**

Petitioner agrees to endorse the check to the State of Connecticut Department of Administrative Services for satisfaction of the Medicaid lien.

This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under Section 15(a).

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

No. 21-2037V
Chief Special Master Corcoran
ECF

On May 19, 2023, respondent filed a Vaccine Rule 4(c) report concluding that petitioner suffered an injury that is compensable under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to -34, that is, a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”), as defined in the Vaccine Injury Table. ECF No. 35. Accordingly, on May 26, 2023, the Chief Special Master issued a Ruling on Entitlement. ECF No. 36.

Based upon the evidence of record, respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded a lump sum of **\$77,500.00** for pain and suffering, in the form of a check payable to petitioner. Petitioner agrees.

Respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded funds to satisfy the State of Connecticut Medicaid lien in the amount of **\$1,648.51**, which represents full satisfaction of any right of subrogation, assignment, claim, lien, or cause of action the State of Connecticut may have against any individual as a result of any Medicaid payments the State of Connecticut has

made to or on behalf of petitioner from the date of her eligibility for benefits through the date of judgment in this case as a result of her alleged vaccine-related injury suffered on or about November 3, 2020, under Title XIX of the Social Security Act.

The above amounts represent all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

II. Form of the Award

The parties recommend that compensation provided to petitioner should be made through two lump sum payments described below, and request that the Chief Special Master's decision and the Court's judgment award the following: ¹

- A. A lump sum payment of **\$77,500.00** in the form of a check payable to petitioner; and
- B. A lump sum payment of **\$1,648.51**, representing compensation for satisfaction of the State of Connecticut Medicaid lien, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and:

**State of Connecticut Department of Administrative Services
450 Columbus Boulevard, Suite 1001
Hartford, CT 06103
Re: Julia McNally
Case No. 3351319**

Petitioner agrees to endorse the check to the State of Connecticut Department of Administrative Services for satisfaction of the Medicaid lien.

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN M. BOYNTON
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO
Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

¹ Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future pain and suffering, and future lost wages.

HEATHER L. PEARLMAN
Deputy Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

TRACI R. PATTON
Assistant Director
Torts Branch, Civil Division

/s/Mary E. Holmes
MARY E. HOLMES
Trial Attorney
Torts Branch, Civil Division
U. S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 146, Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146
Tel: (202) 616-5022
Mary.E.Holmes@usdoj.gov

DATED: July 26, 2023